Response dated January 21, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2009

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method for analyzing access to a data communication network by a user,

comprising:

tracing traffic of said user via a computer, and identifying a group of networks with which

said traffic is mainly handled, by defining relative autonomous systems and tracing the sequence of

autonomous systems crossed by said traffic; the tracing including:

- a first stage, to provide a list of paths of autonomous systems crossed by said traffic to

reach each destination, and

- a second stage, to aggregately elaborate said list of paths, outputting a tree representing all

the paths of the autonomous systems crossed by the traffic of said user to reach each destination,

wherein said first stage comprises the operations of inputting a file containing the IP

addresses representing the sites most frequently visited by said user and performing a traceroute

function for each destination site, by tracing the path to reach each destination site, and

wherein said second stage comprises providing, in relation to the list of said autonomous

systems crossed by said traffic of said user, at least one parameter including a percentage of use of

the autonomous systems.

2. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, comprising determining the routing of said

traffic on branches of said tree, and associating respective indicative values of the traffic that crosses

the branches of said tree.

3. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, comprising using hardware probes to trace the

traffic of said user.

Page 2 of 13

Response dated January 21, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2009

4. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 3, comprising configuring said hardware probes to

provide information selected from a group including: band use of an individual link, data volume,

protocol-subdivision, IP address-subdivision, traffic matrix between the user and the network.

5. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 3, comprising configuring said hardware probes to

determine at least one item selected from a group including: sites most frequently visited by the user,

main networks to which the user addresses traffic, and the origin of who connects up to said user.

6. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, comprising setting software agents on the data

communication network access routers to trace said user traffic.

7. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 6, comprising configuring said software agents to

trace the traffic through the interface of the router of said user to determine the main traffic lines.

8. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 6, comprising configuring said software agents to

analyze the operating status of the respective router in terms of CPU load and available memory.

9. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 6, comprising providing a target machine for the

transfer of the statistics obtained by said routers.

10. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, comprising generating, as the result of said

traffic tracing operation of said user, at least one parameter selected from a group including:

destination networks of said traffic, percentage of traffic involved, and pertinent autonomous system.

11. (Canceled)

12. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 11, comprising tracing said path as a sequence of

autonomous systems crossed.

Page 3 of 13

Response dated January 21, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2009

13. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 11, wherein in said first stage said tracing

operations are carried out repeatedly with a given frequency.

14. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 13, wherein said frequency is configured to be

determined and selected.

15. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, wherein said second stage comprises

generating a unique tree of paths of the autonomous systems crossed by the traffic of said user to

reach all the destinations, wherein leaves of said tree are indicative of destination subnetworks of the

traffic of said user.

16. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, wherein the provided at least one parameter

further includes at least one of a time value for passing through said autonomous systems and a hops

value inside the autonomous system.

17. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 16, wherein said provided at least one parameter

is expressed as an average value.

18. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, wherein said first stage comprises the operation

of invoking for each IP address generated via said trace function, a remote service to obtain at least

one item of information from a group including: name of the autonomous system to which the

generated IP address belongs and the number of the autonomous system to which said generated IP

address belongs.

19. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 18, wherein said remote service is the whois

service of the databases RIBE, ARIN, APNIC.

Page 4 of 13

Response dated January 21, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2009

20. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, wherein said first stage comprises generating a

data file including information selected from a group including:

- order number of the autonomous system following the sequence of IP addresses provided

by said trace function,

- text name of the autonomous system,

- identification number of the autonomous system,

- number of hops that a single tracing command has measured inside the autonomous system,

and

- time of permanence in the autonomous system measured by a single tracing command.

21. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, comprising performing a plurality of said

tracing functions in parallel during said first stage.

22. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, wherein said second stage comprises storing

information of correspondence between IP addresses and data relating to the autonomous systems.

23. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, wherein said second stage comprises

generating leaves of said tree as an identification of destination subnetworks of the traffic of said

user and relative branches as identifications of the autonomous systems crossed by the traffic.

24. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 1, wherein said second stage is performed in

association with a central memory with a data structure that represents the paths generated in said

first stage in the form of at least one aggregated list.

25. (Previously Presented) A method as per claim 24, wherein said at least one aggregated list is

identified as representing a variable number of autonomous system lists that share a common

maximum prefix.

Page 5 of 13

Response dated January 21, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2009

26. (Currently Amended) An apparatus for analyzing access to a data communication network by a user, comprising:

a processor; and

memory storing software code that, when executed by the processor, performs:

tracing traffic of said user;

identifying a group of networks with which the traffic is mainly involved by identifying relative autonomous systems and tracing the sequence of autonomous systems crossed by said traffic;

providing a list of paths of autonomous systems crossed by said traffic to reach each destination;

aggregately elaborating said list of paths by outputting a tree representing all the paths of the autonomous systems crossed by the traffic of said user to reach each destination; and providing, in relation to the list of said autonomous systems crossed by said traffic of said user, at least one parameter including a percentage of use of the autonomous systems; and inputting a file containing IP addresses representing destination sites most frequently

visited by said user and to perform a tracing operation for each destination site, by tracing the path to

reach each destination site.

- 27. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, wherein the apparatus is configured to measure the routing of said traffic on branches of said tree and associate respective indicative values of the traffic crossing the branches to the branches of said tree.
- 28. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, comprising hardware probes to trace the traffic of said user.
- 29. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 28, wherein said hardware probes are configured to supply information selected from a group including: use of single link band, data

Response dated January 21, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2009

volume, protocol-subdivision, IP address-subdivision, traffic matrix between the user and the

network.

30. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 28, wherein said hardware probes are

configured to determine at least one item selected from a group including: sites most frequently

visited by the user, main networks addressed by the user traffic, and origin of those who connect up

to said user.

31. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, comprising software agents on a data

communication network access router to trace said traffic of the user.

32. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 31, wherein said software agents are

configured to trace the traffic through the interface of the router of said user by determining main

traffic lines.

33. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 31, wherein said software agents are

configured to perform an analysis on the operating status of the respective router in terms of CPU

load and available memory.

34. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 31, comprising a target machine for receiving

statistics obtained by said routers.

35. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, wherein the apparatus is configured to

generate as a result of said tracing operation of the traffic of said user at least one parameter selected

from a group including: destination networks of said traffic, percentage of traffic involved, pertinent

autonomous system.

36. (Canceled)

Page 7 of 13

Response dated January 21, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2009

37. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 36, wherein said apparatus is configured to

trace said path as a sequence of autonomous systems that are crossed.

38. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 36, wherein said apparatus is configured to

repeatedly perform said tracing operations with a given frequency.

39. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 38, wherein said apparatus is configured so

that said frequency can be determined and selected.

40. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, wherein said apparatus is configured to

output a unique tree of autonomous systems paths crossed by the traffic of said user to reach all the

destinations, and wherein leaves of said tree are indicative of destination subnetworks of the traffic

of said user.

41. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, wherein the provided at least one

parameter further includes at least one of a value of time of permanence inside said autonomous

systems and a value of hops inside said autonomous systems.

42. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 41, wherein the provided at least one

parameter is expressed as an average value.

43. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, wherein said apparatus is configured to

invoke for each IP address generated via said tracing a remote service to obtain at least one piece of

information from a group including: name of the autonomous system to which the generated IP

address belongs and number of the autonomous system to which the generated IP address belongs.

Page 8 of 13

Response dated January 21, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2009

44. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 43, wherein said remote service is the whois

service of the databases RIBE, ARIN, APNIC.

45. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, wherein said apparatus outputs a data file

including information selected from a group including:

- order number of the autonomous system following the sequence of the IP addresses

provided by said tracing function,

- text name of the autonomous system,

- identification number of the autonomous system,

- number of hops that a single tracing command has measured inside the autonomous system,

and

- time of permanence in the autonomous system measured by a single tracing command.

46. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, wherein said apparatus is configured to

perform in parallel a plurality of said tracing functions.

47. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, wherein said apparatus contains a cache

memory to store information of correspondence between IP addresses and data relating to the

autonomous systems.

48. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, wherein said apparatus is configured so

that leaves of said tree are destination subnetworks of the traffic of said user and relative branches of

said tree are the autonomous systems crossed by the traffic.

49. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 26, wherein said apparatus is associated to one

central memory with a data structure that represents the paths generated by said apparatus in the

form of at least one aggregated list.

Page 9 of 13

Response dated January 21, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2009

50. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as per claim 49, wherein said at least one aggregated list is identified as representing a variable number of autonomous system lists that share a common maximum prefix.

51. (Currently Amended) A memory having stored thereon software code that, when executed, performs:

tracing traffic associated with a user of a data communication network;

identifying a group of networks with which said traffic is mainly handled; and

defining relative autonomous systems and tracing the sequence of autonomous systems crossed by said traffic,

wherein the tracing includes:

- a first stage, to provide a list of paths of autonomous systems crossed by said traffic to reach each destination, and

- a second stage, to aggregately elaborate said list of paths, outputting a tree representing all the paths of the autonomous systems crossed by the traffic of said user to reach each destination,

wherein said first stage comprises the operations of inputting a file containing the IP addresses representing the sites most frequently visited by said user and performing a traceroute function for each destination site, by tracing the path to reach each destination site, and

wherein said second stage comprises providing, in relation to the list of said autonomous systems crossed by said traffic of said user, at least one parameter including the percentage of use of the autonomous systems.